

The Right Honourable Stephen Harper
Prime Minister of Canada
Office of the Prime Minister
80 Wellington Street
Ottawa, ON
Canada
K1A 0A2

TO: Prime Minister Harper;

Dear Prime Minister;

In light of facts revealed by the trial and the Judgment of Nathan J. Smith in a Defamation lawsuit **IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA** (2008 BCSC 249) Between: *Ken Wiebe* (Plaintiff) And *Pierrette Bouchard, Isabel Boily, Marie-Claude Proulx, Her Majesty The Queen In The Right of Canada, and The Minister Responsible for the Status of Women Canada* (Defendants), and for other reasons also –

I/We Insist that a full Parliamentary Inquiry be held into the Status of Women Canada and its wrongful influence on Legislation, Policy, and the administration of justice in Canada over the duration of its existence. This Inquiry must have the mandate to recommend changes to policy and legislation that it finds to be in error.

Judge Nathan J. Smith, in his BC Supreme Court judgment, said that a Policy Research Report of the Canadian federal government (Status of Women Canada):

- makes claims that are not true.
- is not factual.
- is the ideological opinion of “true believers” and self-described “militant feminists”.
- is slanderous (Defamatory).

Therefore:

- ✓ **Parliament has been misled by at least one Status of Women Canada Research Report.**

Furthermore:

- There are other examples legislation and policy that have been influenced by SWC policy research, but we do not know the full extent to which Parliament has been misled and the people of Canada harmed.
- This Report published by Status of Women Canada was judged to be the best policy research of the year 2003. It can reasonably be inferred that the remainder of similar research is of the same (or worse) character.
- Government funding and support of the legal defense of Status of Women Canada has created a perception that there is unjustified discrimination and inequality under the law within the Canadian court system.

As a result of all this:

- **I/We insist upon a full Parliamentary Inquiry to gauge the full extent of this problem and make reasonable and fair recommendations to repair the errors.**

Signed: _____

Address (optional) _____

Date: _____

Au Premier Ministre Harper;

Cher Premier Ministre:

A la lumière des faits révélés par le procès et le jugement de Nathan J. Smith dans une poursuite pour Diffamation **EN COUR SUPRÊME DE COLOMBIE-BRITANNIQUE** (2008 BCSC 249) Entre: *Ken Wiebe (Plaintif) Et Pierrette Bouchard, Isabelle Boily, Marie-Claude Proulx, Sa Majesté la Reine en Droit du Canada, et la Ministre Responsable de Condition Féminine Canada (Défendants)*, et pour d'autres raisons aussi-

Nous insistons qu'une Enquête Parlementaire complète soit tenue envers la Condition Féminine Canada et son influence maléfique sur la Législation, la Politique, et l'application des Lois au Canada pour toute la durée de son existence. Cette Enquête doit avoir le mandat de recommander des changements aux politiques et législations trouvées dans l'erreur.

Dans son jugement de Cour Suprême, Juge Nathan J. Smith dit que le Rapport de Recherche du gouvernement fédéral Canadien (Condition Féminine Canada):

- Fait des déclarations qui ne sont pas vrai
- Ne s'en tient pas aux faits
- Est l'opinion idéologique de celles qui "le croient vraiment" et se déclarent elles-mêmes "militantes féministes"
- Est calomnieux (diffamatoire)

Donc:

- ✓ **Le Parlement fut guidé dans l'erreur par au moins un Rapport de Recherche de Condition Féminine Canada**

De plus:

- Il y a d'autres exemples de législation et politique qui furent influencées par les recherches en matière de politique de CFC, mais nous ignorons l'amplitude réelle de la déroute parlementaire et des blessures au peuple Canadien.
- Ce rapport publié par Condition Féminine Canada fut dit être la meilleure recherche en matière de politique pour l'année 2003. Il est raisonnable de déduire que les autres recherches similaires sont de même (ou de pire) caractère.
- La contribution financière du gouvernement et son appui de la défense légale de Condition Féminine Canada ont créé la perception qu'il y a discrimination injustifiée et inégalité dans la loi sous le système juridique Canadien.

En conclusion:

- **Je/nous insistons qu'une Enquête Parlementaire complète mesure l'amplitude de ce problème et pourvoit des recommandations raisonnables et justes pour réparer les erreurs.**

Signé: _____

Adresse (facultative): _____

Date: _____

The Right Honourable Stephen Harper
Prime Minister of Canada
Office of the Prime Minister
80 Wellington Street
Ottawa, ON
Canada
K1A 0A2

Dear Prime Minister Harper;

Please find attached a letter outlining the reasons for a full Parliamentary Inquiry into the workings of Status of Women Canada Agency over the thirty odd years of its existence.

This issue is a very serious matter of government agency misinformation being published as fact.

Obviously the genesis of this problem of this matter occurred well before your time in office, and is the result of previous Liberal administrations. Nevertheless, I believe you have the capacity and interest in your office to investigate the question of the extent of damage done by the publication of SWC "policy reports".

This is a very important question to the public interest. To what extent are mere opinions purported to be facts by Government of Canada Agencies? What has been the effect on federal government policy of thirty years of defamatory opinion being accepted by Parliament as fact?

I would appreciate your response at earliest opportunity.

Kind regards,
