

SO WHAT'S THE WORD FROM OUR 'LEADERS' IN CANBERRA?



Greg Combet
One of Mr Rudd's hard-liners, attempting to hammer the ETS bill through and alienate ANY critical opinion of now (as always) VERY DISPUTED 'science'

RIGHT BEFORE the CLIMATE-GATE scandal broke, our Labour party was still treating ANY and ALL criticism of the intentions of the Climate Treaty, ETS and the international law it was indicating, as totally absurd and un-founded.

It seems however that Climate-Gate has exposed something inconvenient for Mr Combet; that 'Warming' science HAS always in the scientific realm been heavily disputed; and now it seems the evidence is in!

BELOW: Greg Combet's Response to a question from one of his fellow labor members in Parliamentary Question Time, 1 DAY BEFORE CLIMATE-GATE BROKE! 25/11/09;

[QUOTE] Firstly, I think it is important to acknowledge those in the coalition who are supportive of action on climate change, [REFERRING TO MALCOLM TURNBULL] because it is **very important for the Australian economy** and for our contribution to the battle against climate change and to achieving greenhouse gas emission

reductions. However, as has been fairly evident over the last 24 to 48 hours, there are some fairly deep divisions on the other side of politics.

We have seen some quite extraordinary scenes, including a struggle for leadership of the Liberal Party over this issue. It is important in this context that we consider some of the arguments that have been mounted against taking action on climate change and that we are clear about what some of these arguments actually involve.

We have heard the argument that this might be some left-wing conspiracy—that the international climate scientists have been engaged in some form of conspiracy that will take us down some left-wing governance path. But an argument has also been advanced, by Lord Monckton, a former adviser to Margaret Thatcher, that the international negotiations are in fact a conspiracy to establish world government. Lord Monckton has argued that a new global treaty on climate change would effectively mean that nation-states sign away their sovereignty to a new, United Nations world government. **This has been seriously posited.**

Lord Monckton has argued that the aim is for the UN to have the power to directly intervene in the financial, economic, tax and environmental affairs of all nations that sign the global agreement. Lord Monckton, only about a month or so ago, I think it was, gave the following warning to American citizens:

... in the next few weeks, unless you stop it, your president will sign your freedom, your democracy, and your prosperity away forever.

So Lord Monckton is positing a fairly serious conspiracy theory. **This is a theory that climate change is a plot to destroy national sovereignty and to establish world government.** That is essentially his argument.

During the second reading debate on the CPRS legislation, there have been a few observations about this, and I will just briefly refer to a couple of the issues that have been raised. One contributor indicated that the draft treaty 'effectively gives complete power over the Australian economy to a committee of unelected UN carbon regulators'. Another contributor in the Senate had this to say:

In cyber Australia right now there is a growing grounds; well of disaffected people. I think probably up to a million people have been listening to Lord Monckton ...

One other contribution was:

... the aim of the Copenhagen draft treaty is to set up a sort of trans-national government on a scale the world has never seen.

Let us just have a look at this contention. What this is seriously arguing is that the majority of world leaders—people such as President Barack Obama; Angela Merkel, Chancellor of Germany; and Gordon Brown, Prime Minister of the United Kingdom, amongst others—are in some form of international conspiracy to cede their national

[CONTINUED OVERLEAF]

<CONTINUED FROM OVERLEAF> sovereignty and establish world government, presumably under the guidance of the UN, and that the vehicle for this great goal is the international negotiations for a treaty to reduce greenhouse gas emissions! **This is the most bizarre, absurd, ridiculous contention that one could imagine being posited in this debate, and yet it gets an airing. [END QUOTE]** Emphasis added by pamphlet author

Bizarre, Absurd & Ridiculous is it Greg?

FACT! THIS WORLD GOVERNMENT INVOLVEMENT IS ACTUALLY A HOT TOPIC IN THE UNITED STATES & EUROPE AT PRESENT! AND IT ACTUALLY GETS AN 'AIRING' IN THE INDEPENDENT MEDIA ALL THE TIME BECAUSE IT IS A WELL ESTABLISHED OPINION GROWING STEEPLY IN POPULATITY – BECAUSE OF ITS TRUTHS.

FACT! WE APPARENTLY LIVE IN A DEMOCRACY AND SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO EXPRESS OUR OPINION. IT GETS THE OPORTUNITY TO BE 'AIRED' BECAUSE OF HEALTHY CHECKS AND BALANCES IN OUR DEMOCRATIC PROCESS.

Perhaps Mr Combet prefers the intolerant approach, but we remind him that we are NOT a Fascist country, and both sides of an argument are welcome in any and ALL debates, and that when either side of ANY debate becomes censored, it is easier to sway public opinion; allowing un-welcome corruption to continue to infect our great country. As such the defence of fair political forum to allow the minority view, is the most important part of maintaining the very foundations of a democracy!

IT SEEMS TO BE, THAT THERE IS A LOT MORE DEBATE REQUIRED WHEN IT COMES TO ANTHROPOGENIC GLOBAL WARMING AFTER ALL.

...not to mention the misconduct & FRAUD!

Tell your leaders this is still a Democracy! SAY NO to involvement with Carbon Trading and Carbon Tax and DEMAND an OFFICIAL INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION into Climate-Gate.

PLEASE VISIT THE SITES BELOW AND RESEARCH FURTHER WHILE THE INTERNET IS STILL FREE & UNCENSORED THIS FREEDOM IS ALSO UNDER ATTACK BY THE ALP

http:// www.scienceandpublicpolicy.org/

www.climate-gate.org/

www.joannenova.com.au/global-warming/

www.infowars.com/

[www.climategate-for-dummies/](http://www.climategate-for-dummies.com/)

www.globalclimatecams.com/

www.globalwarmingheartland.org/

www.globalwarminghoax.com/

www.climateceptics.com.au/

Google 'Climate-gate' TODAY!



WE ARE CHANGE WESTERN AUSTRALIA
wearechangewa.com



NOT FOR PROFIT ORGANIZATION

WARNING
NEW WORLD ORDER AHEAD!



about the CLIMATE-GATE FRAUD!

- Why did the University of East Anglia 'lose' the data for the Multi-Billion\$ tax-payer-tuned climate model, directly after a scandal known as Climate-gate broke news headlines? – Guilt?
- How can any further discussion of carbon taxation or carbon trade occur until a FULL international inquiry/commission, extended to any country who might dispute the validity of the science is undertaken? –independent of the United Nations due to a vote of non confidence, and FULLY publicly debated.
- Why does the leaked computer code hold the programmers' 'fudge'-ing notes? –some people are even defending by saying the hacker changed the data, but why did the 'real' data disappear so as to not allow validation anyway?
- Why is it that the world reaction to this scandal strongly suggests that the debate about man-made global warming and legitimate scepticism, was already well known in scientific circles, but that it has been censored and ignored?
- Why is it that this 'warming trend' is FINAL when real data clearly suggests global 'cooling over' at least the last 10 years?

Because Preventing 'Catastrophe' is NOT what 'Carbon Control' is for. ANSWER

"We need to get some broad based support, to capture the public imagination. That of course means getting loads of media coverage. So we have to offer up some scary scenarios, make simplified dramatic statements and little mention of any doubts one might have. ...Each of us has to decide what the right balance is between being effective, and being honest."

-Stephen. H. Schneider, OCT '89
Coordinating Lead Author in WORKING GROUP II, IPCC.

Major United Nations cash flow using the first such internationally FORCED taxation is required to complete global consolidation of all formally Independent Nation States. Result: a monopoly supra-national political system. The very idea of a single global political system means that if and when a fascist takeover were to occur, it would result in GLOBAL FASCISM. Nations MUST resist NOW to prevent complete centralization of power and certain ENSLAVEMENT! Learn from history and SPEAK OUT NOW, before history repeats itself...again!!!

GLOBAL FASCISM: IS YOUR SILENCE!
ALL THAT IS NECESSARY
you need to hear the truth...

WHAT'S ALL THE FUSS ABOUT?

It's unthinkable. Big Government has spent \$79 billion on the climate industry, 3000 times more than Big-oil. Leading climate scientists won't debate in public and won't provide their data. What do they hide? When faced with legal requests they say they've "lost" the original global temperature records. Thousands of scientists are rising in protest against the scare campaign. Meanwhile \$126 billion turned over in carbon markets in 2008, and surprise, surprise, bankers get to make more billions. Haven't they committed enough fraud already?

What if governments sacked and bullied scientists who disagreed? If officials used slander and libel in order to suppress scientific opinions? What if public agencies hid their data, refused to supply it, or even lost it; if baseless graphs were publicised and not corrected? Who informs the public and who enforces the rules of science, of science journals, of Aristotle?

all happening NOW!
 >What if thousands of scientists rose up in protest, but it went unreported?

>What if multi-national financial houses quietly set themselves up to make billions?

The world is considering a new financial market larger than any commodity market. We're told it's all "based on science", but if you ask for evidence you are called names - "DENVER!"

CONSIDER: If you are on the team which has soaked up billions; you might call the unfunded volunteers "oil shills", while you and 10,000 friends fly on two week exotic junkets every year. You could kick up a stink about \$23 million dollars that didn't come your way, while the \$79 billion dollars that did, goes unnoticed. You call yourself "suppressed" after doing your 1400th television interview, while ground breaking research is locked out of journals. Meanwhile a university puts thermometers six feet above pavements, (lucky hot air doesn't rise off concrete eh?) and a \$4 billion dollar agency gets compliance audits by a team of hundreds of volunteers. Somehow, the media doesn't think the public would like to know...WELL WE DO!

Science is broken. Bloggers are paid to smear scientists, and rather than deny the intimidation and bullying, groups like the *David Suzuki Foundation*, *New Scientist*, the *UN* and *Nature* (the Journal!) actively support it. *DeSmogBlog*'s run from a PR firm "Hoggan and Associates", and Jim Hoggan is on the *Suzuki Foundation* board. Professional marketing types attack scientists for any mistake on any topic they may have ever made, for their funding, their religious beliefs, and they scorn their credentials (that's rich, coming from PR graduates). If they can't find "funding", they imply it anyway. Who needs evidence?

Thousands of scientists have been funded to find a connection between human carbon dioxide emissions and the climate. Hardly any have been funded to find the opposite. Throw billions of dollars at one question and how could bright, dedicated people not find 800 pages worth of connections, links, predictions, projections and scenarios? What's amazing is what they haven't found: empirical evidence.

So what is the Climategate scandal in short?
The Climatic Research Unit e-mail hacking incident, referred to by some sources as "Climategate", began in November 2009 with the hacking of a server used by the Climatic Research Unit (CRU) of the University of East Anglia (UEA) in Norwich, England, in the United Kingdom. This Institute is responsible for the prime data used in IPCC reporting; the same reporting which is telling us we must ACT NOW!

Unknown persons stole and anonymously disseminated over a thousand e-mails and other documents made over the course of 13 years. The university confirmed that a breach of their security systems took place. Details of the incident have been reported to the police, who are investigating. Controversy rages after various SERIOUS DISCOVERIES were made whilst digging through the emails, including that climate scientists colluded to withhold scientific information, interfered with the peer-review process to prevent dissenting scientific papers from being published, deleted e-mails and raw data to prevent data being revealed under the Freedom of Information Act, and manipulated data to make the case for global warming appear stronger than it is. The affair will go down as discovering one of the biggest scams in history!

DID YOU KNOW

There has historically been much more CO2 in our atmosphere than exists today. For example, during the Jurassic Period (200mya), average CO2 concentrations were about 1800ppm or about 4.7 times higher than today. The highest concentrations of CO2 during all of the Paleozoic Era occurred during the Cambrian Period, nearly 7000ppm - about 18 times higher than today. **WELL BEFORE INDUSTRIALIZATION !!!**



SO WHY ALL THE CONFUSION ABOUT TEMPS?

Variations of the Earth's surface temperature for the past 1,000yrs:
 It's a sordid tale of a graph that overthrew decades of work, conveniently fitted the climate models, and was lauded triumphantly in glossy publication after publication.

In 1995 everyone agreed the world was warmer in medieval times, but CO2 was low then and that didn't fit with climate models. In 1998, suddenly Michael Mann ignored the other studies and produced a graph that scared the world - tree rings show the "1990's was the hottest decade for a thousand years". Now temperatures exactly "fit the rise in carbon! The IPCC used the graph all over their 2001 report. Government departments copied it. The media told everyone.


But Steven McIntyre was suspicious. He wanted to verify it, yet Mann repeatedly refused to provide his data or methods - normally a basic requirement of any scientific paper. It took legal action to get the information that should have been freely available. Within days McIntyre showed that the statistics were so flawed that you could feed in random data, and still make the same hockey stick shape nine times out of ten. Mann left out some tree rings he said he'd included. If someone did a graph like this in a stock prospectus, they would be jailed.

Astonishingly, Nature (The Journal) refused to publish the correction. It was published elsewhere, and backed up by the Wegman Report, an independent committee of statistical experts.



MEET STEPHEN SCHNEIDER

Meet one of many major 'experts' telling us to believe that Anthropogenic Global Warming is such a serious threat to mankind that we 'Must Act Now!'



[QUOTE]
 "On the one hand, as scientists we are ethically bound to the scientific method. ... On the other hand, we are not just scientists but human beings as well. ... To avert the risk (of potentially disastrous climate change) we need to get some broad based support, to capture the public imagination. That of course means getting loads of media coverage. So we have to offer up some scary scenarios, make simplified dramatic statements and little mention of any doubts one might have. ... Each of us has to decide what the right balance is between being effective, and being honest."

-Stephen H. Schneider, author of the book *Global Warming* (Sierra Club), in an interview in *Discover Magazine*, Oct 1989.

Stephen Schneider is a government-funded climatologist who has become the lion of Capital Hill in the United States. He is invited to most conferences and congressional hearings on the subject of global warming, and he does indeed receive "loads of media coverage" in stories about climate change. In his book he warns that the earth is heating up, creating a greenhouse effect of catastrophic proportions.

'Experts' such as this, with ethics such as this are just peddlers of perverted science for sale to the highest bidder. This fact alone should immediately warrant an end to political discussion until the science can be fairly debated and properly established. Official investigations ARE required!

Sky's the limit when Al and Co drive the charts.



Hide the Decline "from the leaked emails"
YOU CHOOSE
JUNK SCIENCE
or COMMON SENSE?
You and your children will be taxed purely on such 'EXPERT OPINIONS'
A VOTE OF NO CONFIDENCE IS IN ORDER, UNTIL PROPER INVESTIGATIONS ARE CONCLUDED!

LORD MONKTON SAYS: CALL & WRITE YOUR POLITICIANS NOW AND LET THEM KNOW YOUR CONCERN!



The Carboniferous Period and the Ordovician Period were the only geological periods during the Paleozoic Era when global temperatures were as low as they are today. To the consternation of global warming proponents, the Late Ordovician Period was also an Ice Age while at the same time CO2 concentrations then were nearly 12 times higher than today-- 4400ppm. According to greenhouse theory, Earth should have been exceedingly hot. Instead, global temps were no warmer than today. Clearly, other factors besides atmospheric carbon influence Earth temperatures and any climate-change.

YES, Save The Whales
YES, Stop Damaging Pollution
YES, Conserve Nature
YES, Think Efficient
YES, YES, YES, BUT...
... GIVING GLOBALISTS A GRAY TRAIN OF CASH WILL HELP THE ENVIRONMENT HOW?

INFORMATION EXTRAPOLATED AND QUOTED FROM -The Steptics Handbook II, Joanne Nova