

1. by [noorudeenshakur](#) 2 hours ago

+ 6 diggs

=====HERE IS JUST SOME OF THE EVIDENCE=====

Presentation from the American Scholars Symposium:

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-5004704309041471296&q=Scholars+Symposium>

Terrorstorm: Alex Jones

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-5948263607579389947>

Loose Change 2nd Edition

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-5137581991288263801>

Extras

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-7440129306993364432>

Loose Change Presentation from
the American Scholars Symposium

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1375208054676470714>

9/11 revisited

BYU physicist Steven E Jones

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=964034652002408586>

Revisited Montage

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1951610169657809939&q=9%2F11+revisited&pl=true>

BYU Professor Steven E. Jones

Interviewed by Alex Jones

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-2842384983834100001>

Professor Emeritus David Ray Griffin

9/11 commission report:

omissions and distortions

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6837001821567284154>

American Empire

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=3538037502590699697>

other speeches

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-8526790279017094192>

William Rodriguez - Hero & Maintenance Worker at the Twin Towers

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=4380137365762802294&q=rodriguez+william>

911 Road to tyranny

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-1358726890127819985>

Extras

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-4006909132092980816>

special emergency release

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-3050705494343492018>

Confronting evidence

1

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-4652622731306815853>

2

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=5130420072672670260>

3

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=4120859424049476570>

4

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=3714795250395473723>

5

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-4394432694577855251>

a fake osama tape?

<http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/osamatape.html>

Central columns omitted from commission report?

<http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/nist/>

NORAD standing DOWN??

<http://standdown.net/>

<http://911research.wtc7.net/planes/analysis/norad/>

WTC7

http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/fema_report.html

Catherder debunked

http://abovetopsecret.narod.ru/Above_Top_Secret_article.htm

Debunking Popular Mechanics straw men (p.s. Micheal chertoffs nephew wrote it)

<http://911review.com/pm/markup/index.html>

<http://911research.wtc7.net/essays/pm/>

<http://www.prisonplanet.tv/audio/090305alexresponds.htm>

Notable People Who Are Speaking Out Against 911 Governments Official Story:

Morgan Reynolds - Former Chief Economist for the Department of Labor (Bush II)

<http://washingtontimes.com/upi-breaking/20050613-102755-6408r.htm>

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/june2005/160605governmentcomplicit.htm>

Paul Craig Roberts - Former Assistant of the Treasury in the Reagan Administration author of "Reaganomics"

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/february2006/080206towerscollapse.htm>

Dr. Robert M. Bowman (Lt. Col. Ret.) - Former Head of Advanced Space Programs for the Department of Defense Author of "Star Wars Defense" during Reagan Administration

<http://www.rmbowman.com/ssn/Secrecy.htm>

<http://www.illinoistimes.com/gyrobase/Content?oid=oid%3A4282>

Ray McGovern - Former CIA Analyst during the Reagan and Bush I Administrations

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/october2005/191005McGovern.htm>

Stanley Hilton - Bob Dole's Former Chief of Staff

<http://www.conspiracyplanet.com/channel.cfm?channelid=101&contentid=1536>

Karl Schwarz - Former Republican Strategist Insider

http://www.americanfreepress.net/html/one-time_gop_insider.html

Donn de Grand-Pre - U.S. Army Col. (Ret.)

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/022904degrand.html>

Andreas Von Buelow - Former German Defense Minister

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/021104vonbuelow.html>

Physics Professor Steven Jones - Brigham Young University

<http://deseretnews.com/dn/view/0,1249,635160132,00.html>

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/november2005/121105twintowers.htm>

Theology Professor David Ray Griffin - Clarmont Theology University

<http://www.WantToKnow.info/050828latimes911conspiracy>

William Rodriguez - Hero & Maintenance Worker at the Twin Towers

<http://www.arcticbeacon.com/20-Jun-2005.html>

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=4380137365762802294&q=rodriguez+william>

Anatoli Kornukov - Commander-in-Chief of the Russian Air Force

<http://www.tenc.net/news/airf.htm>

Michael Meacher - Former UK Minister of Environment
<http://www.guardian.co.uk/comment/story/0,3604,1036571,00.html>

David Shayler - Former MI5 British Secret Agent
<http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/june2005/270605insidejob.htm>

Russ Wittenberg -Former Air Force and Commercial Airline Pilot
<http://www.lewisnews.com/article.asp?ID=106623>

Louis Freeh - Former FBI Director (under Bush II)
<http://www.opinionjournal.com/extra/?id=110007559>

U.S. Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney (D-GA)
http://www.ajc.com/hp/content/auto/epaper/editions/saturday/news_241efd8d9666d13800b4.html

U.S. Senator Mark Dayton (D-Minn.)
<http://www.911citizenswatch.org/modules.php?op=modload&name=News&file=article&sid=369>

U.S. Congressman Ron Paul, (R-TX) (MD)
<http://www.lewrockwell.com/paul/paul200.html>

U.S. Congressman Dennis Kucinich (D-OH)
<http://portland.indymedia.org/en/2006/03/335527.shtml>

U.S. Congressman Curt Weldon (R-PA)
<http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=viewArticle&code=20050917&articleId=965>

Command Sergeant Major Eric Haney - Founder of the Military's Elite Covert Counter-Terrorist Unit, Delta force
http://www.infowars.com/articles/us/delta_force_founder_no_real_threat_to_us.htm

Stan Goff - U.S. Special Forces Master Sergeant (Ret.)
http://www.apfn.org/apfn/wtc_media.htm

Catherine Austin Fitts - Former Ass. Secretary of Housing (Bush II)
<http://www.911truth.org/article.php?story=20041101130426916>

Sibel Edmonds - Former FBI Translator "Whistleblower"
<http://www.breakfornews.com/Sibel-Edmonds.htm>

Coleen Rowley - Former Special FBI Agent
<http://www.time.com/time/covers/1101020603/memo.html>

Robert Wright - Former Special FBI Agent
http://www.prisonplanet.com/another_fbi_agent_blows_the_whistle.htm

Paul O'Neill - Former Treasury Secretary (Bush II)
<http://xymphora.blogspot.com/2004/01/more-on-paul-oneill.html>

Joseph Wilson - Former U.S. Diplomat

http://www.legitgov.org/clg_interview_joseph_wilson_060705.html

Karen Kwiatkowski - Air Force Lieutenant Colonel Pentagon

http://www.cooperativeresearch.org/entity.jsp?entity=karen_kwiatkowski

Scholars for 9/11 Truth

<http://www.st911.org/>

Respected Leaders and Families 9/11 Truth Statement

<http://www.911truth.org/article.php?story=20041026093059633>

Unanswered Questions from 9/11 Victim's Families

<http://www.911independentcommission.org/questions.html>

Experts Call for Release of 9/11 Evidence

http://news.yahoo.com/s/prweb/20060301/bs_prweb/prweb352979_1

Experts Claim Official 9/11 Story is a Hoax

http://news.yahoo.com/s/prweb/20060130/bs_prweb/prweb339303

Official 9/11 Story Disputed by Experts and Witnesses

<http://www.lasvegastribune.com/20050729/headline3.html>

National Security Experts Speak Out: 9/11 Commission Falls Short

<http://www.BreakForNews.com/Vets-on-911-Commission.htm>

”The real problem with the official line on 9/11 is that it relies on wrong-headed science that starts with “a conclusion” and seeks out evidence that supports that theory to the exclusion of all other evidence.” ~ Prof. Steven E. Jones

9/11: The Myth and the Reality

<http://www.911truth.org/article.php?story=20060405112622982>

MSNBC archive: hijackers trained at US bases:

http://www.prisonplanet.com/alleged_hijackers_may_trained_us_bases.html

FBI agents told to “back off” from investigating al Qaeda months before

9/11: <http://www.guardian.co.uk/Archive/Article/0,4273,4293682,00.html>

Time.com “9/11, an Inside Job? How the boxcutters were preplanted”

<http://www.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,175953,00.html>

MSNBC Slate: Boxcutter story a myth:

<http://www.slate.com/id/2088092/>

USA Today: NORAD ran drills simulating 9/11 like attack

http://www.usatoday.com/news/washington/2004-04-18-norad_x.htm

Bush forced EPA to lie about 9/11:

<http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2003/08/09/national/main567489.shtml>

Mineta testifying about Cheney's suspicious 9/11 behavior:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bDfdOwt2v3Y&search=mineta>

MSNBC: Osama a former CIA asset:

<http://www.msnbc.com/news/190144.asp>

Pakistan funded 9/11:

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/comment/story/0,,1266317,00.html>

Two 9/11 hijackers lived with FBI informant:

<http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2002/09/09/attack/main521223.shtml>

Here's a VERY important Washington Times article showing how an FBI agent went to her superiors with documents from pre 9/11 that al Qaeda was going to strike with hijacked airlines:

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A60651-2004Apr8.html>

FBI agent blocked by higher ups on uncovering plot:

<http://www.latimes.com/news/printedition/front/la-na-moussa21mar21.1.5398407.story?coll=la-headlines-frontpage>

FBI translator Sibel Edmonds says that if the truth ever came out about 9/11, there'd be some very high people in the US government behind bars:

<http://baltimorechronicle.com/050704SibelEdmonds.shtml>

Top FBI agents told to back off from investigating al Qaeda hijackers months before 9/11

<http://www.laweekly.com/news/news/another-fbi-agent-blows-the-whistle/3706/>

FBI agents drop 9/11 bombshell:

<http://www.time.com/time/covers/1101020603/memo.html>

FBI agent Robert Wright warned the WTC was going to be attacked soon based on evidence field agents found, and that a terrorist attack was imminent, but he was told to shut up:

<http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2002/5/30/161204.shtml>

FBI agents say government is protecting terrorists, covering up 9/11:

<http://www.judicialwatch.org/2469.shtml>

#3 CIA man says Osama should stay free:

<http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2089-1431539,00.html>

Taliban, UK, Israel, India and Russia warned US weeks before 9/11 a big strike was going to occur:

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/south_asia/2242594.stm

<http://portal.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml?xml=/news/2001/09/16/wcia16.xml>
http://www.janes.com/security/international_security/news/jid/jidpromo011005.shtml
http://prisonplanet.com/bush_was_given_hijack_warning_by_british.htm

Intelligence keeping top al Qaeda masterminds safe and protected:
more proof intelligence is providing safe haven for the top 9/11 masterminds and al
Qaeda planners:

<http://www.time.com/time/world/article/0,8599,300609,00.html>
<http://www.guardian.co.uk/ukresponse/story/0,11017,751102,00.html>

US allowed top al Qaeda and Taliban flee to safety:

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/bush/story/0,7369,636763,00.html>
<http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/cms.dll/html/uncomp/articleshow?artid=38382324>

And of course the US made a deal with Pakistan to have these top al Qaeda flown out in
late 2001 to safety:

<http://www.msnbc.com/news/664935.asp>

CIA used al Qaeda to attack Serbs in Bosnia:

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/comment/story/0,,688310,00.html>
<http://www.nationalpost.com/news/world/story.html?f=/stories/20020315/344843.html>

9/11 Families Back 9/11 FBI Whistleblowers:

<http://www.washtimes.com/upi-breaking/20050123-072909-2717r.htm>

PBS documentary on FBI John O'Neil, who was told at every turn along with other FBI
agents to stop investigating al Qaeda, was convinced the US was complicit with Osama
and said the WTC were going to be attacked:

<http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/shows/knew/>

FBI agents bring about evidence to 9/11 families how much the government knew:

<http://www.villagevoice.com/issues/0415/mondo10.php>

Finally, for the tinfoil hat people reading this, here is Alex Jones on
his program July 25th 2001...just a month and and half before 9/11, emphatically warning
people elements within the US were planning to launch a terrorist attack in NY at the
WTC and blame it on former CIA asset Osama bin Laden. In fact he urged everyone
watching to call the white house and tell them not to go through with it. For weeks after
that he was insistant a major strike on NY to be blamed on Osama was about to happen:

<http://www.prisonplanet.tv/articles/august2004/082604alexwarned.htm>

Fighting the new world order, one waffle at a time

Please try to see if you can locate out where this massive 60 ton plane is hiding.

http://www.asile.org/citoyens/numero13/pentagone/erreurs_en.htm

<http://www.pbase.com/watson/image/536168>

<http://www.pbase.com/image/536173>

Remember all events of 911 are related, if you are not convinced of the evidence of the towers, just look at the photos of the Pentagon or watch video of Building #7 coming down by controlled demolition.

Building 7 was the strongest structure in America. A massive 47 story steel structure that housed the CIA, FBI, Secret Service and NYC Office of Emergency Management. It was not hit by a plane, what brought it down? Fire? Well it would be the 3 building in history... The twin towers were the first 2... So the story goes. Hmmm.

<http://www.wtc7.net/>
<http://www.prisonplanet.com/011904wtc7.html>
<http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/attack/wtc7.html>
<http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/wtc7.html>

Madrid fire burned for 24 hours and gutted the entire building... But the steel frame stood because fire alone can not melt steel. Jet fuel burns at 1800 degrees but Steel does not begin to melt until 2400 degrees (700 degrees higher). Dozens of buildings have burned hotter for longer over the past 100 years and we are suppose to believe that the 3 buildings on 911 are the only ones that collapsed from fire?

http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/spain_fire_2005.html
http://bellacio.org/en/article.php3?id_article=5296

Fire Initiated Collapse - Primary arguments against

Presenting an uncluttered account of 9/11 inconsistencies is no easy task. The official account is so haphazard and full of holes, it's very hard to stay focused on one problem without being diverted into a string of related problems. With that in mind, try to forgive the following "rapid fire" outline.

We've got the "raging jet fuel theory" which (even if true) could have never generated sufficient heat to produce the molten steel found in all three buildings. In a logical world, we can't even begin discussing what caused the collapse of the buildings until we've identified what liquefied the steel support columns. Why? Because whatever liquefied the steel support columns is logically the most likely cause of collapse!

Another problem with the jet fuel theory (as we've already pointed out) is WTC 7 wasn't struck by a plane or burned with jet fuel. Since it must be assumed something else destroyed WTC 7, it's reasonable to assume something else could have destroyed the North and South towers as well.

To date, FEMA has only been able to come up with a "Diesel Fuel Theory" for building 7. Check the maximum burn temperature for diesel fuel and you'll run into the same

problem we ran into with the two towers; it is nowhere near sufficient to cause the molten steel found at the site. Furthermore, when addressing how diesel fuel might have contributed to the collapse of WTC 7, FEMA is on the record as saying: “the best hypothesis has only a low probability of occurrence. Further research, investigation, and analysis are needed to resolve this issue.” The attacks took place in 2001. How many more years will it take?

Now is a good time for a logical question: - How long would it take FEMA to “resolve the issue” of what caused building 7 to collapse if they were allowed to consider explosives in the building? I’m betting they could have a universally accepted, reproducible theory in a day. From there it would only be a matter of figuring out how the explosives got into the building. (...gotta be easier than trying to figure out how to bend the laws of physics.)

Another “theory” that has recently been put forward is debris from the North Tower cut into Building 7; knocking out a handful of supports on its south side. This theory also has multiple problems. First, there is no evidence to support it. Second, the North Tower literally fell on top of building 6, crushing a GIANT round hole out of the center of the building. Despite having a huge chunk ripped from its core, the sections that weren’t crushed remained standing and had to be brought down with explosives.

IF the suggested damage to building 7 actually existed (no evidence to support the assertion) and if it were sufficient to cause the damaged section to collapse, we would have seen a partial collapse in the area of the damage; not a symmetrical vaporization straight down into the building’s own footprint. -Isn’t it absurd to suggest that a “few key columns on the south side of building 7 might have been scooped out by falling debris, causing it to collapse” when in fact we can all see that building 5 suffered exactly that kind of damage to its south side and yet didn’t collapse? Or, how about this: I wonder if some “key columns” might be missing from building 6...

The truth is steel framed buildings don’t up and evaporate; even if you rip huge chunks out of them. A catastrophic failure does not a “global collapse” make.

While we’re pointing out the obvious, how about an obvious yet unasked question: Why weren’t explosives the first thing considered as the cause for the WTC buildings’ collapse? Terrorists regularly use bombs to attack their targets, countless reputable eyewitnesses reported bombs going off, buildings have never collapsed in the manner witnessed on 9/11 without the help of explosives, and in 1993 explosives were successfully placed (by terrorists) and detonated in one of the same buildings that fell on 9/11! To have the government bend itself into an intellectual pretzel to make their “fire initiated collapse” theory stick (without spending any time looking into the more plausible theory) makes no sense whatsoever.

Prominent Physics Professors have already determined that Thermite with C4 is the only

substance that could have cut through steel and bring the buildings down..

http://www.infowars.com/articles/sept11/physicist_says_heat_substance_felled_wtc.htm

http://www.infowars.com/articles/sept11/prof_jones_refutes_official_911_story.htm

FREE FALL????

All 3 Buildings in NY came down in “Free Fall”, this means that if you stand on top of a 47 story building and drop a brick it will take 6.1 seconds to hit the ground. Building 7 fell in 6.4 seconds. So each floor “pancake on top of each other without any resistance to slow it down? The twin tower dropped 110 floors symmetrically in free fall with no resistance defying the laws of physics???? If you were to drop a grand piano from the same height as the towers, at the same time the towers fell, they would both have hit the ground at the same time.

911research.wtc7.net/wtc/analysis/collapses/freefall.html

I have believed the official story as well for 4 years until I looked at the scientific, photographic and video analysis with an open objective mind.

Some group conspired to kills thousands on the morning of 911. Who has the most to gain? Who had the means, desire and capability? Would it be 19 guys with box cutters who died because they “hate our freedoms???? Fact is, 7 of the 19 have been found alive, where not on the passenger list and there are no autopsy reports.....

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/1559151.stm

<http://www.rense.com/general20/alives.htm>

http://www.prisonplanet.com/seven_of_the_wtc_hijackers_found_alive.html

Could it have been a group that made billions on 2 wars and control of the worlds oil supply???? The same group that instituted the Patriot act 1 & 2, lied about WMD’s, spy on and imprison US citizens without charges for years, run torture camps and use Chemical weapons on Woman and Children in Fullujah?

http://www.911busters.com/New_911_Evidence/index.html (Fallujah (rated R for extreme violence)

http://www.aljazeera.com/me.asp?service_ID=10017

The war on terror is an illusion. The real war is a war against your freedom. 911 was just a pretext for the New World Order Police State, Martial law and the surveillance state.

”They who would give up an essential liberty for temporary security, deserve neither liberty or security” Benjamin Franklin

The evidence is overwhelming. If I was a juror in a court room deliberation would last 4 minutes before I moved to convict..

GUILTY AS CHARGED!

TREASON, MURDER, GENOCIDE, WAR CRIMES, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY!!!!!!

GOD BE WITH US!

STILL NOT CONVINCED???? Here is more difficult to deny evidence..

ONLINE STREAMING VIDEO...

http://www.911busters.com/911_new_video_productions/MOV/Painful_Deceptions.html

GOOD LIST OF LECTURES...

http://www.911busters.com/911_new_video_productions/index.html

<http://www.911podcasts.com/default.php>

ONLINE CLIPS OF EVIDENCE (PLEASE WATCH the movie preview and/or Newton's 1st, 2nd and 3rd law)...

<http://www.911eyewitness.com/samples2.html>

Possible Motives Of The Bush Administration - By Dr. David Ray Griffin

<http://www.911truth.org/article.php?story=20051112152750305>

Proof that cell phones could not have been used on 911 as claimed...

<http://www.rense.com/general56/cellpp.htm>

http://www.the7thfire.com/9-11_cell_phone_hoax.htm

THE PENTAGON...

<http://www.pentagonresearch.com/>

OTHER LINKS...

<http://www.911truth.org/>
<http://www.infowars.com/>
<http://www.reopen911.org/>
<http://911busters.com/>
<http://www.911eyewitness.com/>
<http://ist-socrates.berkeley.edu/~pdscott/q.html>
<http://www.911citizenswatch.org/>
<http://www.wtc7.net/steeldisposal.html>
<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article11222.htm>

=====

911 WAS THE PRETEXT FOR THE SYSTEMATIC WAR AGAINST OUR FREEDOMS NOT A SMALL GROUP OF THUGS THAT “HATE OUR FREEDOMS AND WAY OF LIFE”!

BEWARE OF THE LIES AND DECEPTIONS WE HAVE BEEN TOLD. IT IS TIME TO BE AWAKE AND ALERT! DON'T BE FOOLED INTO SACRIFICING OUR FREEDOM FOR FALSE SECURITY! THE RABBIT HOLE MAY BE FARTHER THAN WE FIRST IMAGED, BUT THE EVIDENCE IS THERE FOR ALL TO SEE.

THE QUESTION IS, WILL WE DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT?

EVENTUALLY, THE GREAT TRUTHS WILL BE KNOWN! BUT HOW LONG WILL IT BE BEFORE WE WAKE UP? WILL IT BE TOO LATE?

UNITED WE STAND AGAINST THE BUSH CARTEL, OIL MAFIA AND ECONOMIC COLONIALISM, THE ILLUMINATI AND SKULL & BONES

I think the reason why it is so difficult to convince some people the matter how much evidence is presented to them, is that it is hard to wrap your head around the most incompetant administration carefully organizing world terror plots againts it's own people.

It must not be ignored, behind the bumbling idiots is an extremely powerful and very real secret society called the “Illuminati” and branches of it such as “Skull & Bones” that many world leaders including Bush and Kerry are admitted members.

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Illuminati>

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Skull_%26_Bones

Tim Russert of Meet the Press asks the President Sunday (2/08/2004)

”It’s so secret we can’t talk about it.... George W. Bush

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iiisokDGbfA&search=skull%20bones%20bush>

Meet the press..

”It’s so secret, it’s a secret” John Kerry

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=r6gPUkVWzKM&search=skull%20bones%20bush>

JFK Speech on Secret Societies
and Freedom of the Press

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=1710662559138481080>

Thats right, old JFK says everything that’s happening now is basically...well... un-American. This is an excerpt from his speech ... “President and the Press.” This speech applies to so much going on today, you will understand a little more why “they” had him killed. Behind every terrorist there is a Bush. For more info on the Kenney Assassination and the Bush connection check out: <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-4315024059102108031&q=jfk+bush+connection>

Omission from the 911 Comission Report

By Professor David Ray Griffin:

available at <http://www.st911.org>

1. The omission of evidence that at least six of the alleged hijackers — including Waleed al-Shehri, said by the Commission probably to have stabbed a flight attendant on Flight 11 before it crashed into the North Tower of the WTC — are still alive (19-20).
2. The omission of evidence about Mohamed Atta — such as his reported fondness for alcohol, pork, and lap dances — that is in tension with the Commission’s claim that he had become fanatically religious (20-21).
3. The obfuscation of the evidence that Hani Hanjour was too poor a pilot to have flown an airliner into the Pentagon (21-22).
4. The omission of the fact that the publicly released flight manifests contain no Arab names (23).
5. The omission of the fact that fire has never, before or after 9/11, caused steel-frame buildings to collapse (25).

6. The omission of the fact that the fires in the Twin Towers were not very big, very hot, or very long-lasting compared with fires in several steel-frame buildings that did not collapse (25-26).
7. The omission of the fact that, given the hypothesis that the collapses were caused by fire, the South Tower, which was struck later than the North Tower and also had smaller fires, should not have collapsed first (26).
8. The omission of the fact that WTC 7 (which was not hit by an airplane and which had only small, localized fires) also collapsed — an occurrence that FEMA admitted it could not explain (26).
9. The omission of the fact that the collapse of the Twin Towers (like that of Building 7) exemplified at least 10 features suggestive of controlled demolition (26-27).
10. The claim that the core of each of the Twin Towers was “a hollow steel shaft” — a claim that denied the existence of the 47 massive steel columns that in reality constituted the core of each tower and that, given the “pancake theory” of the collapses, should have still been sticking up many hundreds of feet in the air (27-2Cool.
11. The omission of Larry Silverstein’s statement that he and the fire department commander decided to “pull” Building 7 (2Cool .
12. The omission of the fact that the steel from the WTC buildings was quickly removed from the crime scene and shipped overseas before it could be analyzed for evidence of explosives (30).
13. The omission of the fact that because Building 7 had been evacuated before it collapsed, the official reason for the rapid removal of the steel — that some people might still be alive in the rubble under the steel — made no sense in this case (30).
14. The omission of Mayor Giuliani’s statement that he had received word that the World Trade Center was going to collapse (30-31).
15. The omission of the fact that President Bush’s brother Marvin and his cousin Wirt Walker III were both principals in the company in charge of security for the WTC (31-32).
16. The omission of the fact that the west wing of the Pentagon would have been the least likely spot to be targeted by al-Qaeda terrorists, for several reasons (33-34).
17. The omission of any discussion of whether the damage done to the Pentagon was consistent with the impact of a Boeing 757 going several hundred miles per hour (34).
18. The omission of the fact that there are photos showing that the west wing’s façade did

not collapse until 30 minutes after the strike and also that the entrance hole appears too small for a Boeing 757 to have entered (34).

19. The omission of all testimony that has been used to cast doubt on whether remains of a Boeing 757 were visible either inside or outside the Pentagon (34-36).

20. The omission of any discussion of whether the Pentagon has a anti-missile defense system that would have brought down a commercial airliner — even though the Commission suggested that the al-Qaeda terrorists did not attack a nuclear power plant because they assumed that it would be thus defended (36).

21. The omission of the fact that pictures from various security cameras — including the camera at the gas station across from the Pentagon, the film from which was reportedly confiscated by the FBI immediately after the strike — could presumably answer the question of what really hit the Pentagon (37-38).

22. The omission of Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld's reference to "the missile [used] to damage [the Pentagon]" (39).

23. The apparent endorsement of a wholly unsatisfactory answer to the question of why the Secret Service agents allowed President Bush to remain at the Sarasota school at a time when, given the official story, they should have assumed that a hijacked airliner might be about to crash into the school (41-44).

24. The failure to explore why the Secret Service did not summon fighter jets to provide air cover for Air Force One (43-46).

25. The claims that when the presidential party arrived at the school, no one in the party knew that several planes had been hijacked (47-48).

26. The omission of the report that Attorney General Ashcroft was warned to stop using commercial airlines prior to 9/11 (50).

27. The omission of David Schippers' claim that he had, on the basis of information provided by FBI agents about upcoming attacks in lower Manhattan, tried unsuccessfully to convey this information to Attorney General Ashcroft during the six weeks prior to 9/11 (51).

28. The omission of any mention of the FBI agents who reportedly claimed to have known the targets and dates of the attacks well in advance (51-52).

29. The claim, by means of a circular, question-begging rebuttal, that the unusual purchases of put options prior to 9/11 did not imply advance knowledge of the attacks on the part of the buyers (52-57).

30. The omission of reports that both Mayor Willie Brown and some Pentagon officials

received warnings about flying on 9/11 (57).

31. The omission of the report that Osama bin Laden, who already was America's "most wanted" criminal, was treated in July 2001 by an American doctor in the American Hospital in Dubai and visited by the local CIA agent (59).

32. The omission of news stories suggesting that after 9/11 the U.S. military in Afghanistan deliberately allowed Osama bin Laden to escape (60).

33. The omission of reports, including the report of a visit to Osama bin Laden at the hospital in Dubai by the head of Saudi intelligence, that were in tension with the official portrayal of Osama as disowned by his family and his country (60-61).

34. The omission of Gerald Posner's account of Abu Zubaydah's testimony, according to which three members of the Saudi royal family — all of whom later died mysteriously within an eight-day period — were funding al-Qaeda and had advance knowledge of the 9/11 attacks (61-65).

35. The Commission's denial that it found any evidence of Saudi funding of al-Qaeda (65-66Cool).

36. The Commission's denial in particular that it found any evidence that money from Prince Bandar's wife, Princess Haifa, went to al-Qaeda operatives (69-70).

37. The denial, by means of simply ignoring the distinction between private and commercial flights, that the private flight carrying Saudis from Tampa to Lexington on September 13 violated the rules for U.S. airspace in effect at the time (71-76).

38. The denial that any Saudis were allowed to leave the United States shortly after 9/11 without being adequately investigated (76-82).

39. The omission of evidence that Prince Bandar obtained special permission from the White House for the Saudi flights (82-86).

40. The omission of Coleen Rowley's claim that some officials at FBI headquarters did see the memo from Phoenix agent Kenneth Williams (89-90).

41. The omission of Chicago FBI agent Robert Wright's charge that FBI headquarters closed his case on a terrorist cell, then used intimidation to prevent him from publishing a book reporting his experiences (91).

42. The omission of evidence that FBI headquarters sabotaged the attempt by Coleen Rowley and other Minneapolis agents to obtain a warrant to search Zacarias Moussaoui's computer (91-94).

43. The omission of the 3.5 hours of testimony to the Commission by former FBI

translator Sibel Edmonds — testimony that, according to her later public letter to Chairman Kean, revealed serious 9/11-related cover-ups by officials at FBI headquarters (94-101).

44. The omission of the fact that General Mahmoud Ahmad, the head of Pakistan's intelligence agency (the ISI), was in Washington the week prior to 9/11, meeting with CIA chief George Tenet and other U.S. officials (103-04).

45. The omission of evidence that ISI chief Ahmad had ordered \$100,000 to be sent to Mohamed Atta prior to 9/11 (104-07).

46. The Commission's claim that it found no evidence that any foreign government, including Pakistan, had provided funding for the al-Qaeda operatives (106).

47. The omission of the report that the Bush administration pressured Pakistan to dismiss Ahmad as ISI chief after the appearance of the story that he had ordered ISI money sent to Atta (107-09).

48. The omission of evidence that the ISI (and not merely al-Qaeda) was behind the assassination of Ahmad Shah Masood (the leader of Afghanistan's Northern Alliance), which occurred just after the week-long meeting between the heads of the CIA and the ISI (110-112).

49. The omission of evidence of ISI involvement in the kidnapping and murder of Wall Street Reporter Daniel Pearl (113).

50. The omission of Gerald Posner's report that Abu Zubaydah claimed that a Pakistani military officer, Mushaf Ali Mir, was closely connected to both the ISI and al-Qaeda and had advance knowledge of the 9/11 attacks (114).

51. The omission of the 1999 prediction by ISI agent Rajaa Gulum Abbas that the Twin Towers would be "coming down" (114).

52. The omission of the fact that President Bush and other members of his administration repeatedly spoke of the 9/11 attacks as "opportunities" (116-17).

53. The omission of the fact that The Project for the New American Century, many members of which became key figures in the Bush administration, published a document in 2000 saying that "a new Pearl Harbor" would aid its goal of obtaining funding for a rapid technological transformation of the U.S. military (117-1Cool).

54. The omission of the fact that Donald Rumsfeld, who as head of the commission on the U.S. Space Command had recommended increased funding for it, used the attacks of 9/11 on that very evening to secure such funding (119-22).

55. The failure to mention the fact that three of the men who presided over the failure to

prevent the 9/11 attacks — Secretary Rumsfeld, General Richard Myers, and General Ralph Eberhart — were also three of the strongest advocates for the U.S. Space Command (122).

56. The omission of the fact that Unocal had declared that the Taliban could not provide adequate security for it to go ahead with its oil-and-gas pipeline from the Caspian region through Afghanistan and Pakistan (122-25).

57. The omission of the report that at a meeting in July 2001, U.S. representatives said that because the Taliban refused to agree to a U.S. proposal that would allow the pipeline project to go forward, a war against them would begin by October (125-26).

58. The omission of the fact that Zbigniew Brzezinski in his 1997 book had said that for the United States to maintain global primacy, it needed to gain control of Central Asia, with its vast petroleum reserves, and that a new Pearl Harbor would be helpful in getting the U.S. public to support this imperial effort (127-2Cool).

59. The omission of evidence that some key members of the Bush administration, including Donald Rumsfeld and his deputy Paul Wolfowitz, had been agitating for a war with Iraq for many years (129-33).

60. The omission of notes of Rumsfeld's conversations on 9/11 showing that he was determined to use the attacks as a pretext for a war with Iraq (131-32).

61. The omission of the statement by the Project for the New American Century that “the need for a substantial American force presence in the Gulf transcends the issue of the regime of Saddam Hussein” (133-34).

62. The claim that FAA protocol on 9/11 required the time-consuming process of going through several steps in the chain of command — even though the Report cites evidence to the contrary (158).

63. The claim that in those days there were only two air force bases in NORAD's Northeast sector that kept fighters on alert and that, in particular, there were no fighters on alert at either McGuire or Andrews (159-162).

64. The omission of evidence that Andrews Air Force Base did keep several fighters on alert at all times (162-64).

65. The acceptance of the twofold claim that Colonel Marr of NEADS had to telephone a superior to get permission to have fighters scrambled from Otis and that this call required eight minutes (165-66).

66. The endorsement of the claim that the loss of an airplane's transponder signal makes it virtually impossible for the U.S. military's radar to track that plane (166-67).

67. The claim that the Payne Stewart interception did not show NORAD's response time to Flight 11 to be extraordinarily slow (167-69).

68. The claim that the Otis fighters were not airborne until seven minutes after they received the scramble order because they did not know where to go (174-75).

69. The claim that the U.S. military did not know about the hijacking of Flight 175 until 9:03, when it was crashing into the South Tower (181-82).

70. The omission of any explanation of (a) why NORAD's earlier report, according to which the FAA had notified the military about the hijacking of Flight 175 at 8:43, was now to be considered false and (b) how this report, if it was false, could have been published and then left uncorrected for almost three years (182).

71. The claim that the FAA did not set up a teleconference until 9:20 that morning (183).

72. The omission of the fact that a memo by Laura Brown of the FAA says that its teleconference was established at about 8:50 and that it included discussion of Flight 175's hijacking (183-84, 186).

73. The claim that the NMCC teleconference did not begin until 9:29 (186-8Cool).

74. The omission, in the Commission's claim that Flight 77 did not deviate from its course until 8:54, of the fact that earlier reports had said 8:46 (189-90).

75. The failure to mention that the report that a large jet had crashed in Kentucky, at about the time Flight 77 disappeared from FAA radar, was taken seriously enough by the heads of the FAA and the FBI's counterterrorism unit to be relayed to the White House (190).

76. The claim that Flight 77 flew almost 40 minutes through American airspace towards Washington without being detected by the military's radar (191-92).

77. The failure to explain, if NORAD's earlier report that it was notified about Flight 77 at 9:24 was "incorrect," how this erroneous report could have arisen, i.e., whether NORAD officials had been lying or simply confused for almost three (3) years (192-93).

78. The claim that the Langley fighter jets, which NORAD had previously said were scrambled to intercept Flight 77, were actually scrambled in response to an erroneous report from an (unidentified) FAA controller at 9:21 that Flight 11 was still up and was headed towards Washington (193-99).

79. The claim that the military did not hear from the FAA about the probable hijacking of Flight 77 before the Pentagon was struck (204-12).

80. The claim that Jane Garvey did not join Richard Clarke's videoconference until 9:40,

after the Pentagon was struck (210).

81. The claim that none of the teleconferences succeeded in coordinating the FAA and military responses to the hijackings because “none of [them] included the right officials from both the FAA and the Defense Department” — although Richard Clarke says that his videoconference included FAA head Jane Garvey as well as Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld and General Richard Myers, the acting chair of the joint chiefs of staff (211).

82. The Commission’s claim that it did not know who from the Defense Department participated in Clarke’s videoconference — although Clarke’s book said that it was Donald Rumsfeld and General Myers (211-212).

83. The endorsement of General Myers’ claim that he was on Capitol Hill during the attacks, without mentioning Richard Clarke’s contradictory account, according to which Myers was in the Pentagon participating in Clarke’s videoconference (213-17).

84. The failure to mention the contradiction between Clarke’s account of Rumsfeld’s whereabouts that morning and Rumsfeld’s own accounts (217-19).

85. The omission of Secretary of Transportation Norman Mineta’s testimony, given to the Commission itself, that Vice-President Cheney and others in the underground shelter were aware by 9:26 that an aircraft was approaching the Pentagon (220).

86. The claim that Pentagon officials did not know about an aircraft approaching Pentagon until 9:32, 9:34, or 9:36 — in any case, only a few minutes before the building was hit (223).

87. The endorsement of two contradictory stories about the aircraft that hit the Pentagon — one in which it executed a 330-degree downward spiral (a “high-speed dive”) and another in which there is no mention of this maneuver (222-23).

88. The claim that the fighter jets from Langley, which were allegedly scrambled to protect Washington from “Phantom Flight 11,” were nowhere near Washington because they were mistakenly sent out to sea (223-24).

89. The omission of all the evidence suggesting that the aircraft that hit the Pentagon was not Flight 77 (224-25).

90. The claim that the military was not notified by the FAA about Flight 93’s hijacking until after it crashed (227-29, 232, 253).

91. The twofold claim that the NMCC did not monitor the FAA-initiated conference and then was unable to get the FAA connected to the NMCC-initiated teleconference (230-31).

92. The omission of the fact that the Secret Service is able to know everything that the

FAA knows (233).

93. The omission of any inquiry into why the NMCC initiated its own teleconference if, as Laura Brown of the FAA has said, this is not standard protocol (234).

94. The omission of any exploration of why General Montague Winfield not only had a rookie (Captain Leidig) take over his role as the NMCC's Director of Operations but also left him in charge after it was clear that the Pentagon was facing an unprecedented crisis (235-36).

95. The claim that the FAA (falsely) notified the Secret Service between 10:10 and 10:15 that Flight 93 was still up and headed towards Washington (237).

96. The claim that Vice President Cheney did not give the shoot-down authorization until after 10:10 (several minutes after Flight 93 had crashed) and that this authorization was not transmitted to the U.S. military until 10:31 (237-41).

97. The omission of all the evidence indicating that Flight 93 was shot down by a military plane (238-39, 252-53).

98. The claim that Richard Clarke did not receive the requested shoot-down authorization until 10:25 (240).

99. The omission of Clarke's own testimony, which suggests that he received the shoot-down authorization by 9:50 (240).

100. The claim that Cheney did not reach the underground shelter (the PEOC [Presidential Emergency Operations Center]) until 9:58 (241-44).

101. The omission of multiple testimony, including that of Norman Mineta to the Commission itself, that Cheney was in the PEOC before 9:20 (241-44).

102. The claim that shoot-down authorization must be given by the president (245).

103. The omission of reports that Colonel Marr ordered a shoot-down of Flight 93 and that General Winfield indicated that he and others at the NMCC had expected a fighter jet to reach Flight 93 (252).

104. The omission of reports that there were two fighter jets in the air a few miles from NYC and three of them only 200 miles from Washington (251).

105. The omission of evidence that there were at least six bases with fighters on alert in the northeastern part of the United States (257-5Cool).

106. The endorsement of General Myers' claim that NORAD had defined its mission in terms of defending only against threats from abroad (258-62).

107. The endorsement of General Myers' claim that NORAD had not recognized the possibility that terrorists might use hijacked airliners as missiles (262-63).

108. The failure to highlight the significance of evidence presented in the Report itself, and to mention other evidence, showing that NORAD had indeed recognized the threat that hijacked airliners might be used as missiles (264-67).

109. The failure to probe the issue of how the "war games" scheduled for that day were related to the military's failure to intercept the hijacked airliners (268-69).

110. The failure to discuss the possible relevance of Operation Northwoods to the attacks of 9/11 (269-71).

111. The claim — made in explaining why the military did not get information about the hijackings in time to intercept them — that FAA personnel inexplicably failed to follow standard procedures some 16 times (155-56, 157, 179, 180, 181, 190, 191, 193, 194, 200, 202-03, 227, 237, 272-75).

112. The failure to point out that the Commission's claimed "independence" was fatally compromised by the fact that its executive director, Philip Zelikow, was virtually a member of the Bush administration (7-9, 11-12, 282-84).

113. The failure to point out that the White House first sought to prevent the creation of a 9/11 Commission, then placed many obstacles in its path, including giving it extremely meager funding (283-85).

114. The failure to point out that the Commission's chairman, most of the other commissioners, and at least half of the staff had serious conflicts of interest (285-90, 292-95).

115. The failure of the Commission, while bragging that it presented its final report "without dissent," to point out that this was probably possible only because Max Cleland, the commissioner who was most critical of the White House and swore that he would not be part of "looking at information only partially," had to resign in order to accept a position with the Export-Import Bank, and that the White House forwarded his nomination for this position only after he was becoming quite outspoken in his criticisms (290-291).

I will close by pointing out that I concluded my study of what I came to call "the Kean-Zelikow Report" by writing that it, "far from lessening my suspicions about official complicity, has served to confirm them. WHY would the minds in charge of this final report engage in such deception IF they were NOT trying to cover up very high crimes?" (291)

The list of scholars/ Officials who Question 911 official story and call for a new investigation into the events of 911

Michael M. Andregg (FM)

Domestic intelligence, Justice and Peace Studies, St. Thomas University, St. Paul, MN

Kevin Barrett (FM)

Folklore, UW-Madison; Director, Khidria, Inc.; Founding Member, Muslim-Jewish-Christian Alliance for 9/11 Truth, www.mujca.com

Philip J. Berg, Esq. (FM)

Attorney at Law, Former Deputy Attorney General, former candidate for Governor, Lt. Governor, and U.S. Senate from Pennsylvania, whose web site, www.911forthetruth.com, covers the RICO lawsuit that is pending against Bush, Cheney and 53 other Defendants in Federal Court, Southern District of New York

Tracy Blevins (FM)

Bioengineering, Rice University

Robert M. Bowman (FM)

Former Director of the U.S. "Star Wars" Space Defense Program in both Republican and Democratic administrations, and a former Air Force Lieutenant Colonel with 101 combat missions

Robert S. Boyer (FM)

Philosophy; Mathematics; Computer Science; University of Texas, Austin

Clare Brandabur (FM)

Assistant professor of English Literature at Dogus University in Istanbul

Michiel Brumsen (FM)

Philosophy, Engineering ethics

Andreas von Buelow (FM)

Former assistant German defense minister, director of the German Secret Service, minister for research and technology, and member of Parliament for 25 years

John Bylsma (FM)

French language and culture

Harriet Cianci (FM)

Tunxis Community College, CT

William A. Cook (FM)

Professor of English, University of La Verne, Author of “Tracking Deception: Bush Mid-East Policy”

Richard Curtis (FM)

Philosophy, Seattle University

Duane M. Davis (FM)

Literary Theory; Modern Literature; Creative Writing; Selkirk College, B.C.

Lloyd DeMause (FM)

Director of The Institute for Psychohistory, President of the International Psychohistorical Association and Editor of The Journal of Psychohistory

Alexander L. Dent (FM)

Microbiology and Immunology, Indiana University School of Medicine

A. K. Dewdney (FM)

Mathematician, Computer Scientist, University of Western Ontario,
physics911.net/spine.htm

Albert Dragstedt (FM)

Classics and Philosophy, St. Mary's College, Oakland, CA

Mike Earl-Taylor (FM)

Criminal Profiling, Investigative and Forensic Psychology, Rhodes University, South Africa

Ted Elden (FM)

Architect, Communicator

Jeffrey Farrer (FM)

Physics/ Materials Science, BYU

James H. Fetzer (FM)

Distinguished McKnight University Professor of Philosophy at the University of Minnesota, Duluth, a former Marine Corps officer, author or editor of more than 20 books, and co-chair of S9/11T

Marcus Ford (FM)

Humanities, NAU

Ruth Frankenberg (FM)

American Studies, Cultural Studies, Author of four books

David Gabbard (FM)

Curriculum & Instruction College of Education East Carolina University

Daniele Ganser (FM)

Historian, Basel University, Switzerland

David Ray Griffin (FM)

Emeritus Professor of Philosophy of Religion & Theology, Claremont School of Theology & Claremont Graduate University, Author or editor of some 30 books, including “The New Pearl Harbor” and “The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions and Distortions”

Derrick P. Grimmer (FM)

Physics, Alternative energy

David Hawkins (FM)

Forensic economics, Joint-venture enterprise, Management and network design

Bruce R. Henry (FM)

Mathematics, Worcester State College

James Hill (FM)

Intellectual property attorney, Radiology, USC School of Medicine

Timothy Howells (FM)

Computer Science, Medical Software, Akademiska Sjukhuset

Dillon K. Inouye (FM)

Instructional Psychology and Technology, Brigham Young University

Don “Four Arrows” Jacobs (FM)

Former Dean of Education, Oglala Lakota College and currently professor of educational leadership at Fielding Graduate University and at Northern Arizona University

Andrew Johnson (FM)

Physics, Computer Science, Software Engineering

Steven Jones (FM)

Professor of Physics, Brigham Young University, co-chair of S9/11T and the creator of its home page and its forum

Michael Keefer (FM)

English and theatre, University of Guelph

Stephen F. LeRoy (FM)

Professor of Economics, University of California, Santa Barbara

Davidson Loehr (FM)

Theology; Philosophy of science; Philosophy of religion

Catherine Lowther (FM)

Goddard College

Graeme MacQueen (FM)

Religious Studies, Peace and Conflict Studies

Jim Marrs (FM)

Author, Researcher, 9/11, JFK, more

Richard McGinn (FM)

Associate Professor Emeritus of Linguistics and Southeast Asian Studies, Ohio University. Former chair of Linguistics (10 years) and Director of Southeast Asian Studies (4 years) at Ohio University

John McMurtry, Ph.D. (FM)

Professor of Philosophy, University Professor Emeritus Elect, University of Guelph, Fellow of the Royal Society of Canada, and the author of six books dealing with public policy issues

Scott Meredith (FM)

Oriental languages; Theoretical linguistics; Computational linguistics

Robert Merrill (FM)

Literature and Humanities; Maryland Institute College of Art; Editor, Misonneuve Press

Ted Micceri (FM)

Statistical Research, Planning and Analysis, University of South Florida

Michael Morrissey (FM)

English as a Foreign Language, University of Kassel, Germany

Raymond Munro (FM)

Professor of Theatre, Clark University

George Nelson (FM)

Colonel, USAF (retired)

Jesus Nieto (FM)

College of Education, San Diego State University

Daniel Orr (FM)

Professor Emeritus, Department of Economics, University of Illinois

Matthew Orr (FM)

Population Biology, Evolution and Ecology, University of Oregon “Is the War on Terror

Fraudulent?"

John Pepper (FM)

Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Arizona

Peter Phillips (FM)

Sociology Department, Sonoma State University, Global Dominance Research,
www.projectcensored.org

Jean-Pierre Petit (FM)

Aeronautics, astrophysics, engineering

Diana Ralph (FM)

Associate Professor Carleton University School of Social Work. Author of *Work and Madness*: