

Summary of evidence for explosive demolition at the World Trade Centre on 11 Sept 2001

Frank Legge flegge@iinet.net.au

Note: Some of the information on DVDs about 9/11 is faulty. Avoid errors by referring to the peer reviewed papers at the Journal of 9/11 Studies. Any attempt to discredit the hypothesis that explosives were used in the demolition will have to refute every one of the following ten points as each one is proof by itself.

1. WTC 7 looks exactly like a conventional controlled demolition. The fires, few and unsymmetrical, could not have produced the simultaneous collapse of all four sides needed to produce the observed symmetrical collapse. The failure to test the explosive hypothesis is proof of cover-up, especially in the case of WTC 7, as it is so obvious.

Frank Legge, "9/11 - Acceleration Study Proves Explosive Demolition", *Journal of 9/11 Studies*.
<http://journalof911studies.com>

Please check the video and see for yourself: <http://9-11.meetup.com/332/files/> and select WTC7 high res.

2. Seismic evidence of explosives in towers. This paper provides recently recognized evidence that explosions occurred several seconds before the planes hit both towers.

Furlong and Ross, "Seismic Proof – 9/11 was an Inside Job", *Journal of 9/11 Studies*.

3. Dust contained small magnetic spheres. These were mainly iron with small amounts of material typical of thermite residues. Being small and spherical proves the iron had been molten and had been shattered by explosives. It is impossible for normal fires to melt and shatter iron.

Professor Steven Jones, "Revisiting 9/11/2001 – Applying the Scientific Method",
Journal of 9/11 Studies.

4. Tower collapse could not have initiated. NIST data shows core temperatures were cooling at the time of collapse. Having survived the hottest period, collapse due to heat had become impossible.

Frank Legge, "NIST Data Disproves Collapse Theories Based on Fire", *Journal of 9/11 Studies*.

5. Tower collapse could not have progressed. Calculations show too little energy to sustain collapse.

Gordon Ross, "Momentum Transfer Analysis", and "NIST and Dr. Bazant – Simultaneous Failure",
Journal of 9/11 Studies.

6. Tower collapse was too fast. Downward acceleration of the tops of all three buildings was close to free fall. This means virtually all the potential energy was converted to kinetic energy so no surplus energy was available for collapse of the steel structure, pulverization of concrete, or for any other purpose. If collapse had continued it could not have been this fast.

Frank Legge, "9/11 – Evidence for Controlled Demolition: a Short List of Observations", *Journal of 9/11 Studies*. Use the same reference for all the following points.

7. Most of the concrete was finely pulverized. This requires a great deal of energy. The dust and much steel were flung rapidly outward, even though very little energy should have been available (see point 6 above). The towers were brought down from the top. This is not conventional but was necessary to produce the desired appearance and would require much more explosive than is used in a typical demolition.

8. Yellow hot metal flowed from WTC 2, just before collapse. This looks like the metal product of the thermite reaction, and there is white dust flowing upwards which looks like the aluminium oxide dust from thermite. Thermite residues were found. Thermite is used to cut steel and has been used by arsonists.

9. Temperatures were too high. Aerial sensing showed ground temperatures too high for burning office material or jet fuel and red hot steel was pulled from the rubble weeks later.

10. Eye witnesses reported explosions. They saw, heard and felt explosions, some causing death and injury, before the collapses.

More information can be found at Scholars for 9/11 Truth and Justice. <http://www.stj911.org/index.html>

If your position is that "they couldn't have done it" just read this:

<http://journalof911studies.com/volume/2007/LeggeConspiracy&Myth7.pdf>